



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8544	
10/054,861	01/25/2002		Atsushi Ueoka	500.41119X00		
20457	7590	09/21/2004		EXAMINER		
		STOUT & KR	BADERMA	BADERMAN, SCOTT T		
1300 NORTI SUITE 1800	4 SEVENTEE	ENTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 2220	9-9889		2113		

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/054,861		UEOKA ET AL.	Co				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
·	Scott T Baderma	l	2113					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory mind will apply and will expire tute, cause the application to the status of the second status.	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this con 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	January 2002.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	his action is non-fin	al.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consider							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor							
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a second to		or b) Objected	to by the Examine	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		-		R 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been rece ents have been rece iority documents ha eau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application eve been received e(a)).	n No d in this National S	tage				
Mark and Mark								
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:		152)				

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: In lines 3-8, it is requested that the multiple prepositional phrases include commas so that the claim would be easier to read. The Examiner also requests that the other claims in the application be reviewed for similar corrections. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 3-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an

Art Unit: 2113

international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Forman et al. (6,772,374).

As in claim 1, Forman discloses a method for system obstacle correspondence support in a console system having a control unit including a display unit, an input unit, means for acquiring messages from at least one information processing unit, storage means for storing said acquired messages, and a storage unit for storing data for supporting correspondence to an error message indicating an error and acquired from said information processing unit, wherein first data for identifying said error message and second data for identifying a related message (e.g., solution) related to said error message are included in a part of said data in said storage unit, and a character string constituting said error message (i.e., included in text of error message) is defined as a part of said first data, while a character string constituting a message to be searched as said related message is defined as a part of said second data (i.e., the corresponding message is searched to deal with the error); and wherein when said character string of said first data is included in an error message acquired from said information processing unit, said second data is selected, a message including said character string of said selected second data is searched from said storage means, and said searched message is set as a related message to said error message (Figure 2, Abstract, column 1: lines 19-22, column 2: lines 1-134, column 4: lines 1-40, column

Art Unit: 2113

5: lines 26-61).

As in claim 2, Forman discloses a method for system obstacle correspondence support wherein a value of said character string constituting said error message and a position of said value of said character string in said error message are defined as a part of said first data, while a value of a character string constituting a message to be searched as said related message and a position of said character string are defined as a part of said second data; wherein said second data is selected when said value of said character string defined in said first data is included in said position of said character string defined in said first data in an error message acquired from said information processing unit; and a message in which said value of said character string defined in said second data is included in said position of said character string defined in said second data is searched from said storage means, and said searched message is set as a related message to said error message (i.e., in order to perform a search using character strings (text), it is implied that a value is given for each character string — this is a common technique when performing a search) (Figure 2, Abstract, column 1: lines 19-22, column 2: lines 1-134, column 4: lines 1-40, column 5: lines 26-61).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See Form PTO-892.

Art Unit: 2113

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott T Baderman whose telephone number is (703) 305-4644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:45 AM-4:15 PM, first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott T Baderman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2113